Livereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

MAXYGEN, INC.

Diane Dunn

Atty. Docket No.: 0154.310US

MAR 1 2 2003

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Juha Punnonen, et al.

Application No.: 09/760,388

Filed: January 10, 2001

For: **MONOCYTE-DERIVED DENDRITIC CELL SUBSETS**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT UNDER

Examiner: Gerald R. Ewoldt

35 U.S.C. § 121

Art Unit: 1644

In response to the Office Action dated February 24, 2003, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the following amendments and remarks. The following document is also enclosed herewith:

1) Transmittal Form.

Election/Restriction.

Claims 1-35, 37-42, 44-68, and 70-78 are presently pending. Claims 36, 43, and 69 were previously canceled without prejudice to subsequent renewal. Applicants thank the Examiner for his acknowledgement of Applicants' response dated August 21, 2002 to the first Restriction Requirement dated March 21, 2002 and Applicants' amendment dated December 10, 2002. Applicants note that the Examiner agreed that the first Restriction Requirement was incomplete, as claims 28 and 29 were not included. To correct this insufficiency, the Examiner issued this new restriction requirement and election.

Application No.: 09/760,388
Page 2

In the present of the present

In the present Office Action, the Examiner restricted the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 121, requiring Applicants to elect one of the following claim groups for prosecution in the present application:

- I. Claims 1-29, 32, and 77, drawn to a method of producing an antigen presenting cell (APC) including a dendritic cell (DC), classified in Class 435, subclass 377.
- II. Claims 1-2, 21, 23-24, and 30-31, drawn to a method of producing a recombinant transfected APC, classified in Class 435, subclasses 91.1 and 455.
- III. Claims 33-35, 37-41, 52-59, 68, and 70-76, drawn to an APC including a DC, and a vaccine, classified in Class 435, subclass 372.
- IV. Claims 42, 44-45, and 60, drawn to a method of inducing an immune response, classified in Class 424, subclasses 278.1.
- V. Claims 46-50, drawn to a method of inducing the differentiation of T cells, classified in Class 435, subclass 347.
- VI. Claim 51, drawn to T cells, classified in Class 435, subclass 372.3.
- VII. Claims 61-67 and 78, drawn to an ex vivo method of inducing an immune response comprising antigen loading, classified in Class 424, subclass 93.71.
- VIII. Claims 61-67 and 78, drawn to an ex vivo method of inducing an immune response comprising gene therapy, classified in Class 424, subclass 93.71 and Class 514, subclass 44.

Office Action, pp. 2-3.

This restriction requirement is respectfully traversed for the following reasons. First, Applicants traverse this requirement because it restricts subject matter within claims, in effect, requiring that a single claim (e.g., claim 1) be divided up and presented in several applications, for at least the reasons set forth by Applicants in the response to the first restriction requirement dated August 21, 2002 (e.g., each of claims 1-2 21, 23-24 are restricted within Groups I and II; each of claims 61-67 and 78 are restricted within Groups VI and VII).

Second, Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction requirement because the Examiner has not established a *prima facie* case that there is a serious burden upon the Examiner

Application No.: 09/760,388

Page 3

such that the present restriction of the pending claims into the eight Claim Groups is necessary, for at least the reasons set forth by Applicants in the response to the first restriction requirement dated August 21, 2002. For example, the restriction between at least Groups I, II, III, V, and VI is improper because all of these claims in these groups have the same classification (Class 435), and it has not been sufficiently shown that a search and examination of the claims of these groups would constitute a serious burden on the Examiner.

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully request that the restriction requirement be withdrawn.

In the event that the requirement is maintained, Applicants provisionally elect Claim Group III with traverse. Applicants expressly reserve the right to appeal this decision to the Board of Appeals and/or the federal courts in the event the restriction requirement is made final.

Conclusion.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this Application, please telephone the undersigned at (650) 298-5809.

Respectfully submitted,

Margarét A. Powers Reg. No. 39,804

Maxygen, Inc.

515 Galveston Drive

Redwood City, CA 95063

Telephone: 650-298-5300 Facsimile: 650-298-5446 Customer No. 30560

Approved for use through 59/30/2000. OMB 0651-0031
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
e required to respond to a collection of information unless it displayed

Fattent and 1 rademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a
Ratid OMB control number

P Camp Onn						
anna »			Application Num	ber	09/760,388	
MAR 1 0 TOR	NSMITT	AL	Filing Date		January 10, 2001	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			First Named Inve	entor	Juha Punnonen, et al.	
			Group Art Unit		1644	
			Examiner Name	• •	Gerald R. Ewoldt	
Total Number o	of Pages in This Submi	ssion	Attorney Docket N	lumber	0154.310US	ı
		ENCLOS	SURES (check all to	hat app	nly)	
Fee Transm	nittal Form	(for an A	nent Papers Application)		After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board	
Amendment / Response		Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers		of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
Afte	er Final	Petition and Acc	Routing Slip (PTO/SE companying Petition	3/69)	Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request		Terminal Disclaimer Small Entity Statement			Response to Restriction Requirement (3 pgs); and Return Postcard	
Information Disclosure Statement		! L				
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Authorization to Charge Deposit Account Please charge Deposit Account No. 50-0990 for any additional fees associated with this paper or during the pendency of this application, including any extensions of time				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		for consideration of the documents enclosed.			atori, including any extensions of time	
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		Remarks			DECEIV	E
	SIGNATU	RE OF APPLI	CANT, ATTORNEY	/, OR <i>A</i>	RECEIV MAR 1 2 2	າດາ
Firm or Individual name	Margaret A. Power, Reg. No. 39,804			TECH CENTER	160	
Signature Mayaet allow						
Date March <u>5</u> , 2003						
		CERTIFICA	ATE OF MAILING	}		Ì
	at this correspondence ed to: Assistant Comm				stal Service as first class mail in an 1 on this date: March 5, 2003	
Typed or printed	name Diane D. D	unn				
Signature Ulane Uu				Date	March 5, 2003	